

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/632,086 | 08/01/2003 | Rick Kiessig | 25396-006 | 3391 |
| 7590 10/19/2006 | | | EXAMINER | |
| Rick A. Toering Mintz Levin Cohn Ferris Glovsky and Popeo PC Suite 900 12010 Sunset Hills Road Reston, VA 20190 | | | LEWIS, CHERYL RENEA | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2167 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/19/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Office Action Summary | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| | | 10/632,086 | KIESSIG ET AL. | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | | Cheryl Lewis | 2167 | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | |
| WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we use to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONED | . the mailing date of this communication. 35 U.S.C. § 133). | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| · · | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Au This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E | action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro | | | |
| Dispositi | ion of Claims | | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ | Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-32 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1, 2, and 4-32 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | n from consideration. | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | ÷ | | |
| 10) | The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the deplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1. | epted or b) objected to by the E Irawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obje | 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| Attachment | (s) | | | | |
| 1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform | e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other: | e | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/632,086 Page 2

Art Unit: 2167

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is in response to the applicants' amendment received on August 10, 2006.

- 2. Claims 1, 2, and 4-32 are presented for examination.
- 3. The applicants have amended claims 1, 4-6, 8-17, and 22-25 in the amendment received on August 10, 2006. Also, the amendment received on August 10, 2006, the applicants have cancelled claim 3 and introduced new claims 26-32.
- 4. Applicants' arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, and 4-32 have been considered but are deemed to be moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Specification

5. The applicants have amended the Specification to include reference serial numbers to identify the plurality of applications that have been incorporated with the instant application.

Drawings

6. The applicants have submitted replacement drawing sheets for figures 1-5.

Therefore, the drawings submitted on August 10, 2006 are accepted by the Examiner.

Double Patenting

7. The double patenting rejection cited in the prior Office Action dated February 10, 2006 is hereby withdrawn. The applicants have filed a terminal disclaimer to overcome the provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection of the instant application (10/632086) over applications 10/632091, 10/632087, and 10632092.

The terminal disclaimer has been approved and it has been placed in the application file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 9. Claims 1 and 26 recite a 'workflow event' and a 'workflow module'. However, in the Specification and in the Abstract the terminology for 'workflow' is used. The Specification and the Abstract only recite 'workflow' as a means to "facilitate workflow" and "including control of workflow". The Specification fails to give and/or provide a definition for 'workflow event' and a 'workflow module' as recited in the limitations of claims 1 and 26. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim(s).
- 10. Claims 1 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 26 recite a 'workflow event', a 'workflow module', and a 'predetermined event'. Again, there is insufficient information that has been provided for

these claim limitations. The claims do not provide a specific detailed description about how these operations are implemented. There is no detailed description about the manipulation of data, data structures, or data items within these claimed event operations.

Thus claims 2, 4-25, and 27-32 are also rejected for at least the reasons set forth above.

NAME OF CONTACT

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cheryl Lewis whose telephone number is (571) 272-4113. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cottingham can be reached on (571) 272-7079. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

(571) 273-4113 (Use this FAX #, only after approval by Examiner, for "INFORMAL" or "DRAFT" communication. Examiners may request that a formal paper/amendment be faxed directly to them on occasions.).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist/ Technology Center (571) 272-2100.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cheryl Lewis

Patent Examiner

October 13, 2006